

LIBRARY
SUPREME COURT, U. S.

Office-Supreme Court, U.S.

FILED

MAR 26 1964

JOHN F. DAVIS, CLERK

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1963

No. 592

COCHHEYSE J. GRIFFIN, etc., et al.,

v.

Petitioners,

COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD OF PRINCE EDWARD COUNTY, et al.,

Respondents.

**MOTION BY THE CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE, AS
AMICUS CURIAE, TO BE PERMITTED TEN MINUTES
ORAL ARGUMENT ON THE GENERAL CONSTITUTION-
ALITY OF VIRGINIA'S TUITION GRANT PROGRAM**

The City of Charlottesville, Virginia, appearing as *amicus curiae*, has served and filed its brief herein pursuant to Rule 42(4) of the Rules of this Court, not in support of the claims or defenses of any party with regard to the school situation in Prince Edward County, but solely in support of the constitutionality of the Scholarship Aid program of the State of Virginia.

In our brief, we argue first that the validity of these statutes is not in question on this record, second, that non-discriminatory statutes which give an option to every child to attend any public or private school of his choice

are a constitutional furthering of the individual's First Amendment freedoms of thought and association.

Since the other parties to this appeal must necessarily be principally concerned with the validity of these statutes as applied in Prince Edward County, the City of Charlottesville asks that ten minutes of argument be permitted on these more general constitutional points.

Respectfully submitted,

GEO. STEPHEN LEONARD

Geo. Stephen Leonard
*Attorney for the City of
 Charlottesville, Virginia*
 1730 K Street, N. W.
 Washington 6, D. C.

Of Counsel:

PAUL D. SUMMERS, JR.
*City Attorney of the
 City of Charlottesville, Virginia*
 D. B. MARSHALL
 RICHARD L. HIRSHBERG